



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,919 12/27/2000		12/27/2000	Chikayoshi Kamata	0941.65074	5081	
24978	7590	12/16/2002				
GREER, B		CRAIN	EXAMINER			
300 S WAC 25TH FLOC)R	,	NGUYEN, DZUNG C			
CHICAGO,	IL 60600	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2652		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1										
<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	7					
		09/748,91	9	KAMATA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Dzung C N	- -	2652						
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess					
THI - Ex - af - if i - if i - Fa - Ar	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Itensions of time may be available under the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no eve y within the statu will apply and wil o, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 (</u>	October 200	<u>2</u> .							
2a)[∑	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Th	is action is	non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
• _	ition of Claims									
4)⊵	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.									
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are withdrawi	n from consi	deration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ation Papers	r election re	quirement.	•						
	_									
•] The specification is objected to by the Examine] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		objected to by the Eval	miner						
10)_										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
/⊏	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
,	v under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
, –	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	,	•	, , , , ,						
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have beer	received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
•	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity docume reau (PCT l	nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National S	tage					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		·		pplication).					
,	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional ap	olication has been rec	eived.	,					
Attachm		io priority ui	idei 33 0.3.0. 99 120	anu/ULTZI.						
1)	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-						

Art Unit: 2652

FINAL ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 10/7/02, has been received and entered.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are pending in this patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al, US patent (5,491,600).

Regarding claim 1, Chen et al teach a magneto-resistive magnetic sensor [fig 2], comprising: a magneto-resistive structure [36, MR, fig 2] changing a resistance thereof in response to an external magnetic field [(see fig 9); a cap layer [CAP, fig 9], provided on a top surface of said magneto-resistive structure [MR] (see fig 9); a pair of magnetic regions [35, fig 2] over both side of [36] disposed at both lateral sides of said magneto-resistive structure [36], said magnetic regions [35] having a

Art Unit: 2652

magnetization pointing in a common direction [32, fig 2]; a pair of electrodes [38 and 40] provided on said pair of magnetic regions [35] so as to oppose with each other across said magneto-resistive structure [36], said electrodes [38 and 40] having respective overhang parts [overlap both portions of MR 36, fig 2] extending over said magneto-resistive structure so as to oppose with each other with a gap [gap between 38 and 40, fig 2] therebetween. (See fig 2); wherein each of said overhang parts [portions covers the CAP, fig 9] covers said cap layer [CAP] on said magneto-resistive structure [36] in such a state that an oxidation-resistant [metal oxide or Aluminum] conductive layer [170, fig 9] is interposed between said cap layer [CAP] and said overhang part (see figs 2 and 9 and col. 7 lines 47-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2652

6. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al, US patent (5,491,600) as applied to the rejection of claim 1 in paragraph 6 above.

Regarding claim 2, Chen do not teach that the oxidation-resistant conductive layer is formed of a metal selected from the group consisting of Au, Pt and Cu. However, Chen et al teach that the oxidation-resistant conductive layer is formed of a aluminum (see col. 7 lines 51).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the oxidation-resistant conductive layer is formed of a metal selected from the group consisting of Au, Pt and Cu because the Au, Pt and Cu have better conductivity than aluminum, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 3-4, Chen do not teach that wherein said oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness larger than about 1 nm (claim 3); wherein said oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness of larger than about 3 nm (claim

Art Unit: 2652

4); wherein said oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness of smaller than about 10 nm (claim 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness larger than about 1 nm (claim 3); wherein said oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness of larger than about 3 nm (claim 4); wherein said oxidation-resistant conductive layer has a thickness of smaller than about 10 nm (claim 5) through routine lab experimentation and optimization to minimize surface of the topography of a MR head; thereby improving the density of the read/write magnetic head (see col. 2 lines 40-44).

Regarding claim 6, Chen et al teach that wherein said cap layer [Cap, fig. 9] comprises Ta (see col. 7 lines 50).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al, US patent (5,491,600) in view of Pinarbasi, US patent (5,883,764).

Regarding claim 7, Chen et al do not teach that the magneto-resistive structure comprises an anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer, a ferromagnetic pinned layer having an exchange coupling with said anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer, a

Art Unit: 2652

ferromagnetic free layer, and a non-magnetic separation layer interposed between said ferromagnetic pinned layer and said ferromagnetic free layer.

However, Pinarbasi teach that the magneto-resistive structure [fig 4] comprises an anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer [421], a ferromagnetic pinned layer [420] having an exchange coupling with said anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer [421], a ferromagnetic free layer [410], and a non-magnetic separation layer [415] (see col. Col. 5 lines 44-45) interposed between said ferromagnetic pinned layer [420] and said ferromagnetic free layer [410] (see fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the MR layer of Chen et al to include an anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer, a ferromagnetic pinned layer having an exchange coupling with said anti-ferromagnetic pinning layer, a ferromagnetic free layer, and a non-magnetic separation layer interposed between said ferromagnetic pinned layer and said ferromagnetic free layer as taught by Pinarbasi because the modification would improve the lead conductance of magnetic read/write head (see Pinarbasi col. col. 4 lines 14-15).

3

Application Serial No: 09/534,527

Art Unit: 2652

Response to Applicant 's Arguments

- 8. The rejections (claims 1-7 paper no. 6) are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action and as per the discussion in the paragraphs hereinabove.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/7/02 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive because: applicant argued that (1) there is no electrode formed in contact with the top surface of the MR structure; (2) there is no conductive oxidation-resistant film interposed between the top surface of the MR structure and the "overhand" (overhang parts).

Regarding point 1, Chen et al clearly teach that the electrodes [lead 38 and 40, fig 2] are formed in contact with the top surface of the MR structure [MR, 36, fig 2] (see fig 2).

Regarding point 2, Chen et al teach that the conductive oxidation-resistant film [metal oxide or aluminum oxide, 170, fig 9] interposed between the top surface of the MR structure [MR] and the "overhand" (overhang parts) electrode (lead) [such as [130 and 150] (see col. 7 lines 10-19 and col. 7 lines 47-61).

10. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL

Art Unit: 2652

ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-9695. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900 and fax number is (703) 872-9314.

Dzung Nguyen

12/10/02

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600